IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

CIVIL WRITS No. 4037 of 2004

RAMAN LAL
V/S
STATE & ORS

Mr. BN KALLA, for the appellant / petitioner

Date of Order : 20.9.2004

HON'BLE SHRI N P GUPTA,J.

ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

By this petition, the petitioner seeks appointment on the basis of circular of the State Government Annex.1 dated 30.9.2000. According to that circular, it was directed that certain persons possessing certain qualifications, and being selected in accordance with particular merit-list, pursuant to particular advertisement, be given appointment in order of seniority, and that, the restriction of 240 days is withdrawn. Suffice it to say, that in the entire writ petition, there is nowhere alleged by pettioner, as to what qualification the petitioner possesses, or when he was appointed, what was the process of his selection, in pusuance of what advertisement he applied, and during what period he served. In other words, nothing has been pleaded in the writ petition to show anything entitling him on the basis of Annex.1, nor anything has been pleaded to show that petitioner's case is similar to the controversy involved in SBCW Pet. No. 6532/2003 (Gattulal Meena Vs. State), which is said to be pending.

In that view of the matter, I do not find any sufficient ground to entertain the writ petition. The same is, therefore, dismissed summarily.

(N P GUPTA),J.

/Srawat/